

Best of Both Worlds: Ex-Ante and Ex-Post Fairness in Resource Allocation

RUPERT FREEMAN, Microsoft Research
NISARG SHAH, University of Toronto
ROHIT VAISH, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

CCS Concepts: • **Computing methodologies** → *Multi-agent systems*; • **Theory of computation** → **Algorithmic mechanism design**; • **Applied computing** → *Economics*.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: fair allocation, indivisible goods, randomization and approximation

ACM Reference Format:

Rupert Freeman, Nisarg Shah, and Rohit Vaish. 2020. Best of Both Worlds: Ex-Ante and Ex-Post Fairness in Resource Allocation. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference on Economics and Computation (EC '20), July 13–17, 2020, Virtual Event, Hungary*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3391403.3399537>

We study the problem of allocating indivisible goods among agents. When randomization is allowed, it is possible to achieve compelling fairness guarantees such as envy-freeness [6], which states that no agent should (in expectation) prefer any other agent's allocation to her own. For instance, we can simply allocate each good, independently of the other goods, to an agent chosen uniformly at random. However, while this scheme is fair *ex-ante*, it may produce outcomes that are very unfair *ex-post*, such as by chance assigning all the goods to a single agent. On the other hand, in the absence of randomization, some amount of ex-post unfairness is unavoidable. Nevertheless, it is possible to guarantee relaxations of envy-freeness that bound the maximum level of envy. One popular relaxation is *envy-freeness up to one good* [3, 8], which requires that the envy of any agent toward another agent can be removed by the elimination of at most one good from the envied agent's bundle.

Our goal in this work is to develop algorithms for constructing randomized allocations that are simultaneously exactly fair ex-ante and approximately fair ex-post. We focus on additive valuations, under which an agent's value for the union of two disjoint sets of resources is the sum of her values for the two sets. The key question we address is whether there always exists an ex-ante envy-free (EF) distribution over deterministic allocations that each satisfy envy-freeness up to one good (EF1). We settle this positively by designing a novel algorithm, Recursive Probabilistic Serial, which is an adaptation of the classic *Probabilistic Serial (PS)* algorithm of Bogomolnaia and Moulin [2] that inherits much of the desirable behavior of PS (in particular, ex-ante envy-freeness), while also allowing a simple and natural decomposition over EF1 allocations. While a naive interpretation of our algorithm yields a distribution over a possibly-exponential number of deterministic allocations, we show that a support size polynomial in the number of agents and goods is sufficient, thus yielding an efficient variant of Recursive Probabilistic Serial.

In addition to ex-ante EF and ex-post EF1, one may want to achieve the economic efficiency notion of Pareto optimality, which states that it should be impossible to find an allocation that

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

EC '20, July 13–17, 2020, Virtual Event, Hungary

© 2020 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-7975-5/20/07.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3391403.3399537>

improves some agent's utility without reducing any other agent's. We show that it is impossible to achieve ex-ante Pareto optimality (that is, with respect to the randomized allocation) in conjunction with ex-ante EF and ex-post EF1. However, strong ex-ante guarantees — in terms of both fairness and economic efficiency — can be achieved if we are willing to compromise on the ex-post guarantee. In particular, we are able to achieve ex-ante *group fairness* [5], which generalizes both envy-freeness and Pareto optimality, in conjunction with two ex-post fairness properties that are incomparable but are both implied by EF1: *proportionality up to one good (Prop1)* [4] and *envy-freeness up to one good more-and-less (EF_1^1)* [1]. Our algorithm uses a rounding of the well-known Maximum Nash Welfare allocation, and by a novel characterization, we prove that this is the only allocation rule that can be used to achieve the desired properties.

For more details, we refer the reader to the full version of the paper [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Barman and S.K. Krishnamurthy. 2019. On the Proximity of Markets with Integral Equilibria. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 1748–1755.
- [2] A. Bogomolnaia and H. Moulin. 2001. A New Solution to the Random Assignment Problem. *Journal of Economic Theory* 100 (2001), 295–328.
- [3] E. Budish. 2011. The Combinatorial Assignment Problem: Approximate Competitive Equilibrium from Equal Incomes. *Journal of Political Economy* 119, 6 (2011), 1061–1103.
- [4] V. Conitzer, R. Freeman, and N. Shah. 2017. Fair Public Decision Making. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference on Economics and Computation (EC)*. 629–646.
- [5] V. Conitzer, R. Freeman, N. Shah, and J.W. Vaughan. 2019. Group Fairness for the Allocation of Indivisible Goods. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 1853–1860.
- [6] D. Foley. 1967. Resource Allocation and the Public Sector. *Yale Economics Essays* 7 (1967), 45–98.
- [7] R. Freeman, N. Shah, and R. Vaish. 2020. Best of Both Worlds: Ex-Ante and Ex-Post Fairness in Resource Allocation. arXiv:2005.14122. (2020).
- [8] R. J. Lipton, E. Markakis, E. Mossel, and A. Saberi. 2004. On Approximately Fair Allocations of Indivisible Goods. In *Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce (EC)*. 125–131.